



iStockphoto, R PHALIN

## List of Tables

Table 1.	Perceived risks of CWD. ....	10
Table 2.	Concerns about CWD. ....	12
Table 3.	Frequency of obtaining information from following sources. ....	13
Table 4.	Adequate information about CWD. ....	15
Table 5.	Percentage of landowners that would like more information about CWD related topics. ....	15
Table 6.	Landowners' preference for information from State agencies and perception of attention given by State agencies to CWD. ....	16
Table 7.	Landowners' trust of the Wisconsin DNR when addressing CWD. ....	17
Table 8.	Landowners' believability of CWD information provided by the Wisconsin DNR. ....	19
Table 9.	Percent of landowners that have seen sick or unhealthy looking deer on their land. ....	21
Table 10.	Agreement with Wisconsin DNR's CWD goals and policies. ....	22
Table 11.	Awareness of incentives. ....	24
Table 12.	Hunter and non-hunter awareness of incentives. ....	24
Table 13.	Effects of increasing the incentive for harvesting a CWD-positive deer from \$200 to \$500. ....	24
Table 14.	Incentives that increased time spent hunting. ....	25
Table 15.	Effects of increasing the lottery payments from \$20 to \$50 for each registered deer harvested from the DEZ. ....	26
Table 16.	Incentives that increased deer harvest. ....	27
Table 17.	Change in landuse by hunters. ....	31
Table 18.	Reasons for limiting the number of deer killed on landowners' property. ....	37
Table 19.	Barriers to eliminating CWD. ....	38
Table 20.	Landowner participation in deer hunting during 2003 seasons. ....	42
Table 21.	Number of days hunted during the 2003 deer seasons. ....	43
Table 22.	Number of deer harvested by type. ....	43
Table 23.	Threats to the future of deer hunting in Wisconsin. ....	45
Table 24.	Land ownership attributes. ....	46
Table 25.	Socio-demographic characteristics of responding landowners. ....	47



iStockphoto, T CAMPBELL

## List of Figures

Figure 1.	Extent that respondents have been following the news about CWD. . . . .	13
Figure 2.	Perception of deer population on land prior to CWD. . . . .	20
Figure 3.	Deer herd target population prior to CWD. . . . .	20
Figure 4.	Effects of increasing the incentive for a CWD-positive deer from \$200 to \$500. . . . .	25
Figure 5.	Effects of increasing the lottery payments from \$20 to \$50 for each registered deer harvested from the DEZ. . . . .	26
Figure 6.	Reward that would result in the most deer harvested. . . . .	27
Figure 7.	Reward that is most preferred by landowners. . . . .	28
Figure 8.	Reward that is most preferred by hunting and non-hunting landowners. . . . .	28
Figure 9.	Confidence in disease eradication and venison donation as incentives. . . . .	30
Figure 10.	Increase in land use for deer hunting by others. . . . .	31
Figure 11.	Preferred gun deer season in the DEZ. . . . .	32
Figure 12.	Support for sharpshooters to help reduce the deer herd in the DEZ. . . . .	33
Figure 13.	Percent of landowners that would allow sharpshooters on their land. . . . .	34
Figure 14.	Support for sharpshooters using bait to help reduce the deer herd in the DEZ. . . . .	34
Figure 15.	Percent of landowners that would allow sharpshooters to use bait on their land. . . . .	35
Figure 16.	Percent that disagree or agree with killing and disposing deer without eating them. . . . .	36
Figure 17.	Percent of hunters and non-hunters that disagree or agree with killing and disposing deer without eating them. . . . .	36
Figure 18.	Most serious barrier to eliminating CWD from the wild deer population. . . . .	38
Figure 19.	Aggressiveness of the state's plan to eliminate CWD. . . . .	39
Figure 20.	Landowner grades for Wisconsin DNR performance. . . . .	40
Figure 21.	Landowners self description as a hunter. . . . .	41
Figure 22.	Years of deer hunting experience. . . . .	41
Figure 23.	Deer hunting frequency. . . . .	41
Figure 24.	Self-described hunter typology. . . . .	42
Figure 25.	CWD's effect on hunting decision. . . . .	42
Figure 26.	Total deer harvested in the DEZ. . . . .	43
Figure 27.	Deer harvest compared to pre-CWD seasons. . . . .	44
Figure 28.	Number of other hunters asking permission to hunt landowners' land. . . . .	44
Figure 29.	Number of other hunters that hunted the landowners' land. . . . .	45